

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/432,883		11/02/1999	RICHARD ALVAN RAWSON	COVD-0013	1729
23689	7590	10/22/2003		EXAMINER	
Jung-hua Kuo				NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA	
Attorney At Law				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PO Box 3275 Los Altos, CA 94024				11)
				DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	$\iota \cup$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
09/432,883	RAWSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary Examiner	Art Unit					
Phuongchau Ba Nguyen	2665					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the Period for Reply	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS five Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDO Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8-4-03 response</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊡ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11 <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the E						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disap						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	proved by the Examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>	eived in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not rece						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been a 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/432,883

Art Unit: 2665

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Batruni (6,215,785).

  Regarding claims 1, 10, and 15:

Batruni (6,215,785) discloses a telecommunication network for providing high speed remote access from a plurality of locations to a plurality of remote targets, wherein each of said plurality of locations is connected to a central office by a local loop, said telecommunication network (fig.5) comprising:

an access multiplexor (316) having a plurality of ISDN digital subscriber loop (IDSL) interfaces for interfacing with any of said local loops using an IDSL technology, said IDSL technology supporting a bandwidth of 128 Kbps or 144 Kbps {col.7, lines 1-4, 55 to col.8, line 3 & lines 26-27},

Art Unit: 2665

said access multiplexor having a plurality of another interfaces for interfacing with at least some of said local loops using another DSL technology, wherein said another DSL technology supports a bandwidth greater than 128 Kbps or 144 Kbps {col.7, lined 1-4},

said plurality of IDSL interfaces and said plurality of another interfaces being designed to receive a plurality of packets from said plurality of locations on said plurality of local loops, each of said plurality of packets being destined to one of said plurality of remote targets (310) {col.7, lines 11-}; and

a data switch (316) coupled to said one of said plurality of remote targets by a bandwidth pipe, said data switch receiving said plurality of packets from said access multiplexor and delivering the data bits in said plurality of packets to said one of said plurality of remote targets using said bandwidth pipe irrespective of whether each of said plurality of packets is received on said IDSL interface or said another interface,

wherein said plurality of IDSL interfaces allows said telecommunication network to provide a minimum bandwidth of 128 Kbps or 144 Kbps to any of

Art Unit: 2665

said plurality of locations, and said plurality of another interfaces enables said telecommunication network to provide higher bandwidth to some locations.

Page 4

Batruni does not explicitly disclose that IDSL interface at 128 Kbps or 144 Kbps. However, it would have been obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface at 128 Kbps or 144 Kbps {col.7, lines 55-64} in the ADSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface interface at 128 Kbps or 144 Kbps {col.7, lines 55-64} in the ADSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface (fig.5) with the ADSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface (fig.5) with the ADSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface (fig.5) with the MDSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to include an IDSL interface (fig.5) with the motivation being for transmitting voice between touch-tone obvious to an artisan to an artisan to a fig.6 in the fig.6 in th

### Regarding claims 2, 11:

Batruni further discloses that wherein said another DSL technology comprises Asymmetric DSL (ADSL) technology, and each of said another interfaces comprises an ADSL interface (fig. 5).

## Regarding claims 3, 12, and 16:

Batruni does not explicitly disclose the claimed features. Official Notice is taken that the concept and the advantages of providing each of said IDSL interfaces receive said plurality of packets as a plurality of frames, and each of

Art Unit: 2665

said ADSL interfaces receive said plurality of packets as a plurality of cells are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to have included the IDSL packets as frames and ADSL packets as cells are known and the motivation being to distinguish between the different data types in xDSL transmission.

Regarding claims 4, 13, and 17:

Batruni further comprises two bandwidth pipes (connection from different customers at different rates) connecting said data switch 316 and said access multiplexor (316), wherein one of said two bandwidth pipes is used to transfer packets received on said ADSL interfaces and the other bandwidth pipe is used to transfer packets received on said IDSL interfaces (Batruni, fig.5 & col.8, lines 2–3).

Regarding claims 8, 20:

Batruni further discloses that said local loops are dedicated for remote access (fig.5, at customers 310 & ADSL 528 in Batruni).

Application/Control Number: 09/432,883 Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

### Regarding claim 21:

Batruni further discloses that wherein said access multiplexor and said data switch are provided as a single unit {fig.5, 316, col.8, lines 26-27 & 40-44}.

## Regarding claim 6:

Batruni further discloses that the telecommunication network comprises a plurality of multiplexors, a plurality of data switches, and a plurality of access multiplexors to supports a large geographical area and large number of locations (fig.4).

3. Claims 5, 14, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Batruni (6,215,785) in view of Laubach (6,081,533). Regarding claims 5, 14, and 18:

Batruni does not explicitly disclose the claimed features. However, in the same field of endeavor, Laubach (6,081,533) discloses that said data switch (a

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/432,883

Art Unit: 2665

controller 103, fig.1) is designed to convert said plurality of cells into new frames {col.4, lines 60-62} and said plurality of frames into new cells {col.4, lines 49-51}, wherein the conversion allows said data switch to deliver all data destined for said one of said plurality of remote targets using said shared bandwidth pipe irrespective of whether each of said packets is received on said ADSL interfaces or said IDSL interfaces. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Laubach's teaching into Batruni's system and the motivation being to provide enhanced services to xDSL users.

4. Claims 7, 9, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Batruni (6,215,785) in view of Araujo (6,097,720).

Regarding claims 7, 9, and 19:

Batruni discloses that said data switch is located outside of said central office. And, Batruni does not explicitly disclose that said access multiplexor is located within said central office (claim 7); that said access multiplexor and said data switch are designed to transfer data from said plurality of remote targets to said plurality of locations (claim 9); and transferring data from said plurality

Art Unit: 2665

of remote targets to said plurality of plurality locations to provide paid high speed remote access (claim 19).

However, in the same field of endeavor, Araujo (6,097,720) discloses that said access multiplexor 20 is located within said central office 16. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Araujo's teaching into Batruni's system and the motivation being to provide an efficiency data transmission for transmit multiple signals as one multiplexed signal to one or more interfaces to PSTN {col.5, lines 54–56; col.6, lines 54–57}.

#### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 8-6-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- A/. Applicant argued that even Batruni transfers data in a range of approximately 128 Kbps to approximately 1.544 Mbps {col.7, lines 55-60}, Batruni is using ADSL not IDSL as recited in claims 1, 10, 15.

In response to applicant's argument that Batruni is using ADSL when transferring at a data rate of 128 Kbps not IDSL, a recitation of the intended use

Art Unit: 2665

of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In

Art Unit: 2665

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 703–308–6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703–872–9314 for regular communications and 703–872–9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

STEVEN H.D NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2665

Page 11

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2665

October 15, 2003